	Case4:11-cr-00742-S	SBA Doo	cument34	Filed10/11/11	Page1 of 1	FILE
Case4:11-cr-00742-SBA Document34 Filed10/11/11 Page1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION OCT 1 7 2011						
United State	es of America,)	Case No.	CR 11-074	12 SBA	DAKLAND CALIFORNIA
Vishal I Ramahiish	Plaintiff, v. Dasa, Anji Redy Dirs na Reddy Karra, Tushar Tan Defendant.	andag		TED ORDER EX HE SPEEDY TR		ME
or the reasons state eedy Trial Act fro the continuance	ed by the parties on the record om Oct. 11, 2011 to outweigh the best interest of the Court makes this finding and	d on October Nov.	$\frac{7}{2}$, 20 and the defe	011 and finds that endant in a speed	t the ends of ju y trial. <i>See</i> 18	stice served
	nre to grant a continuance would U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	uld be like	ely to result	in a miscarriage o	of justice.	
defer or lav	case is so unusual or so comp ndants, the nature of th w, that it is unreasonable to ex within the time limits establi	e prosecu xpect ade	tion, or quate prepar	the existence of ation for pretrial	of novel questi- proceedings of	ons of fact the trial
Failu takin	re to grant a continuance wou g into account the exercise of	ıld deny ti f due dilig	he defendan ence. <i>See</i> 1	t reasonable time 8 U.S.C. § 3161(to obtain cour h)(7)(B)(iv).	nsel,
couns	re to grant a continuance wou sel's other scheduled case cor 8 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv)	nmitment				
neces	re to grant a continuance would sary for effective preparation 8 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv)	, taking ir	onably deny nto account	the defendant the the exercise of du	e reasonable ti ue diligence.	me
IT IS SO OF	RDERED.					_
DATED:	0/11/17		LAUREL		.1	
STIPULATE	D: Attorney for Defendant	- Dasa	Hartl	tes Magistrate July Mk Wks Jnited States Att	f	
	Attorney for Ramakrishr Attorney for Tushar	sanala ratasra També	2			